arXiv:1405.3008v1 [gr-qc] 13 May 2014

A Computer Program for the Newman-Janis Algorithm

Carlos Gutiérrez-Chávez
Francisco Frutos-Alfaro
Iván Cordero-García

May 14, 2014

Abstract

A REDUCE code for the Newman-Janis algorithm is described. This algorithm is intended to include rotation into nonrotating solutions of the Einstein field equations with spherically symmetry or perturbed spherically symmetry and has been successfully applied to many spacetimes. The applicability of the code is restricted to metrics containing potentials of the form $1/r$.

1 Introduction

In 1965, Newman and Janis [14] found that it is possible, by means of a very peculiar complex coordinate transformation applied to Schwarzschild spacetime [3, 19], to generate the spinning Kerr solution [3, 10] of the Einstein field equations. In the original paper they refer to the method as a curious *derivation* since the series of steps to obtain the desired Kerr metric do not have a simple or clear explanation on why they should generate a new solution (different from Schwarzschild) or even why those steps should provide a solution to vacuum field ecuations at all. However, they do mention that in a private communication, Kerr has shown this procedure works for the class of solutions $g_{\mu\nu} = \eta_{\mu\nu} + \lambda^2 l_\mu l_\nu$ which contains Schwarzschild as a special case. In the same issue of the journal where this work was publicated, Newman *et al.* [15] used a similar argument and applied the exact same complex transformation to the Reissner-Nordström metric [3, 18, 16] to obtain what they claimed to be directly shown to be a solution of the Einstein-Maxwell equations. Nowadays it is well known as the Kerr-Newman spinning and charged

black hole solution. A short time after that, Demiański and Newman [2] succeeded in pulling out another solution by applying the same method to Schwarzschild metric again, but this time using a more involved complex coordinate transformation. The result was the Kerr-NUT like Demiański-Newman spacetime. Talbot [20], on an attempt to explain the effectiveness of the method, briefly elaborated an argument on why the complex coordinate *trick* had been succesful on its applications so far. Also, he provided criteria on which metrics the proceedure should work according to the form of a given component of the Weyl tensor, all of this within the context of an application of the Newman-Penrose formalism to find twisting degenerate solutions to field equations. Later, Demiański proposed to find the most general solution which could be obtained by this method, assuming a spherical symmetric seed line element and requiring the presence of a non vanishing Λ term. He thus demonstrated that he could obtain the generalization of Taub-NUT including cosmological constant, but he was also surprised on the fact he was not able to get a version of Kerr with non vanishing Λ. Although he gave an expression for his solution, it was later corrected by Quevedo [17] who also pointed out the limitations of the Newman-Janis (NJ from now on) method on generating certain solutions, just like Demiański failed to obtain Kerr with a Λ term.

Despite still not being fully understood and the fact that a complete satisfactory explanation of why it works has not been given yet, one can see that the Newman-Janis method has proved to be successful in generating new stationary solutions of the Einstein field equations. Because of this effectiveness, applications have also been studied outside the domain of general relativity and in various modified gravitation theories. For example, it was shown by Krori and Bhattacharjee [13] that the NJ technique could be applied within the context of Brans-Dicke theory of gravitation. Then, calculations were carried out to obtain not only a NUT like metric in this theory but also a Kerr like solution which turns out to be the rotating generalization of the Janis-Newman-Winicour solution [9] for a spherically symmetric space time coupled to a zero rest mass scalar field.

A REDUCE program was written, called `Newman-Janis.red` to this end. The interested reader can get our code `Newman-Janis.red` sending us an email.

The main goal of the code is to facilitate the application of the algorithm to metrics with spherically symmetry or perturbed spherically symmetry.

2 The Newman-Janis Algorithm

The method is easily described as a series of steps to be followed once one has the seed metric to which the algorithm is meant to be applied.

1. The seed metric in spherical coordinates needs to be transformed to the advanced null cordinates, also known as Eddington-Finkelstein coordinates [3, 4, 6].

$$(t,\, r,\, \theta,\, \phi) \longrightarrow (v,\, r,\, \theta,\, \phi)$$

2. The next step is to find the null tetrad system that satisfies the contravariant metric.

3. Once the null tetrads are obtained, the Newman-Janis trick is used. The trick goes as follows, the radial coordinate of your metric is allowed to belong to a complex domain, this meaning merely that it can acquire complex values, but is required specifically that it must be always real, therefore terms of the form

$$\frac{2}{r} \longrightarrow \frac{1}{r} + \frac{1}{r^*}$$

   where r^* is the complex conjugate of r.

4. Then, perform the NJ complex transformation on the advanced and radial coordinates:

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{v} &= v + ia\cos\theta \\ \bar{r} &= r + ia\cos\theta \\ \bar{\theta} &= \theta \\ \bar{\phi} &= \phi \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

   where a is the rotation parameter.

5. Finally, it is applied the Boyer-Lindquist coordinate transformation on the obtained advanced contravariant metric.

In the following section, these different steps will be described as the code makes the calculation.

3 The Program

The code Newman-Janis.red is explained in detail as we go through the above exposed steps. The metric that the code needs to run, has the form:

$$ds^2 = g_{tt}\,dt^2 - g_{rr}\,dr^2 - g_{th}\,d\theta^2 - g_{pp}\,d\phi^2, \tag{2}$$

where one has to define explicitly the metric components (g_{tt}, g_{rr}, g_{th}, g_{pp}). A subroutine finds the generalized Eddington-Finkelstein transformation:

$$dv = dt + \sqrt{\frac{g_{rr}}{g_{tt}}}\,dr \tag{3}$$

in terms of the seed metric.
Now, we have the metric in terms of the advanced null coordinates:

$$ds^2 = g_{tt}\,dv^2 + 2g_{vr}\,dv\,dr - g_{th}d\theta^2 - g_{pp}d\phi^2, \tag{4}$$

where

$$g_{vr} = -\sqrt{g_{tt}\,g_{rr}}.$$

The code enlists the components of this new metric tensor, writes it in matrix notation to calculate the inverse matrix. Then, the null tetrads are computed in terms of the components of this metric. To avoid errors the program computes the contravariant metric and verifies that both the tetrads and the metric components fulfill the following relation

$$g^{ij} = l^i n^j + n^i l^j - m^i \bar{m}^j - \bar{m}^i m^j \tag{5}$$

The step 3 of the Newman-Janis procedure can only be done by hand, this is because it is cumbersome to do it with REDUCE, and it maybe impossible to compute at all.
The next step is to apply the Newman-Janis transformation (1) to the latter obtained null tetrads, which is the key step in the whole process. For the sake of simplicity in notation the code displays the following quantity in all the tetrads expressions

$$\rho^2 = r^2 + (a\cos\theta)^2 \tag{6}$$

Then, the *new* contravariant metric components is obtained using equation (5). The expression for it is of the form

$$ds^2 = g_{tt}\,dt^2 + 2g_{vr}\,dv\,dr + 2g_{vp}\,dv\,d\phi + 2g_{rp}\,dr\,d\phi + g_{th}\,d\theta^2 + g_{pp}\,d\phi^2. \tag{7}$$

The *new* covariant metric is determined from the contravariant one. The code computes again the new covariant metric in a more compacted way and confirmes that both expressions are equivalent by performing the difference between them.
Next, the transformation to the generalized Boyer-Lindquist coordinates is performed by the program in order to display the final metric in the standard form. The code rewrites the expressions in a simpler and standard way:

$$ds^2 = g_{tt}\, dt^2 - (\alpha\, g_{vr} + \beta\, g_{rp})\, dr^2 + g_{pp}\, d\phi^2 + 2 g_{vp}\, dt\, d\phi + g_{th}\, d\theta^2 \qquad (8)$$

and compares them to avoid mistakes. In (8) we have used

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha &= (g_{pp}\, g_{vr} - g_{rp}\, g_{vp})/\gamma, \\ \beta &= (g_{rp}\, g_{tt} - g_{vp}\, g_{vr})/\gamma, \\ \gamma &= g_{tt}\, g_{pp} - g_{vp}^2. \end{aligned}$$

4 Test Results

We tested the program for the Schwarzschild [19] and Brans-Dicke metrics [13]. The first metric is given by

$$ds^2 = \left(1 - \frac{R_s}{r}\right) dt^2 - \left(1 - \frac{R_s}{r}\right)^{-1} dr^2 - r^2\, d\Omega^2,$$

where $R_s = 2M$, and $d\Omega^2 = d\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta\, d\phi^2$. The output was the Kerr metric as expected [3, 10]:

$$ds^2 = \frac{\Delta}{\rho^2}[dt - a\sin^2\theta d\phi]^2 - \frac{\sin^2\theta}{\rho^2}[(r^2 + a^2)d\phi - a dt]^2 - \frac{\rho^2}{\Delta} dr^2 - \rho^2 d\theta^2.$$

where $\Delta = r^2 - R_s r + a^2$.
The second metric is given by

$$ds^2 = \left(1 - \frac{R_s}{r}\right)^{\eta} dt^2 - \left(1 - \frac{R_s}{r}\right)^{\xi - 1} dr^2 - r^2 \left(1 - \frac{R_s}{r}\right)^{\xi} d\Omega^2,$$

where η, and ξ are constant.
The resulting metric [13] is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
ds^2 &= \left(1-\frac{R_s r}{\rho^2}\right)^{\eta}\,[dt+\omega\,d\phi]^2 \\
&- \rho^2\left(1-\frac{R_s r}{\rho^2}\right)^{\xi}\left(\frac{dr^2}{\Delta}+d\Omega^2\right) \\
&- 2\left(1-\frac{R_s r}{\rho^2}\right)^{\sigma}\,[dt+\omega\,d\phi]d\phi,
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\omega = a\sin^2\theta$.

5 Conclusions

This REDUCE program is very useful to include rotation to metrics with spherical symmetry. It should not be used for metrics with cosmological constant and with no spherical symmetry. The inputs to the program, the user has to provide, are the metric and the change in term like $2/r \longrightarrow 1/r + 1/r^*$. Moreover, it was successfully tested with the Schwarzschild and the Brans-Dicke metrics. At the moment, there is no standard procedure to include rotation into metrics with no other than spherical symmetry, but if in the future it could be possible, then this code can be an initial step towards other programs to attack that problem.

Appendix

The output for main result in the case of the Schwarzschild metric is:

```
g(0,0) := coeffn(ds2,dt,2);
g(0,0) := ( - 2*m*r + rho**2)/rho**2
g(0,3) := coeffn(ds2,dt,1)/(2*dphip);
g(0,3) := ( - 2*sin(theta)**2*a*m*r)/rho**2
g(3,0) := coeffn(ds2,dphip,1)/(2*dt);
g(3,0) := ( - 2*sin(theta)**2*a*m*r)/rho**2
g(1,1) := coeffn(ds2,dr,2);
g(1,1) := ( - rho**2)/(sin(theta)**2*a**2 - 2*m*r + rho**2)
g(2,2) := coeffn(ds2,dtheta,2);
g(2,2) := - rho**2
g(3,3) := coeffn(ds2,dphip,2);
g(3,3) := (sin(theta)**2*( - 2*sin(theta)**2*a**2*m*r
- sin(theta)**2*a**2*rho**2 - rho**4))/rho**2
```

The output for main result in the case of the Brans-Dicke metric is:

```
g(0,0) := coeffn(ds2,dt,2);
g(0,0) := (( - 2*r*rs + rho**2)/rho**2)**eta
g(0,3) := coeffn(ds2,dt,1)/(2*dphip);
g(0,3) := sin(theta)**2*a*(
- sqrt(( - (( - 2*r*rs + rho**2)/rho**2)**(chi + eta))/(2*r*rs
- rho**2))*rho + (( - 2*r*rs + rho**2)/rho**2)**eta)
g(3,0) := coeffn(ds2,dphip,1)/(2*dt);
g(3,0) := sin(theta)**2*a*(
- sqrt(( - (( - 2*r*rs + rho**2)/rho**2)**(chi + eta))/(2*r*rs
- rho**2))*rho + (( - 2*r*rs + rho**2)/rho**2)**eta)
g(1,1) := coeffn(ds2,dr,2);
g(1,1) := ( - (( - 2*r*rs
+ rho**2)/rho**2)**chi*rho**2)/(sin(theta)**2*a**2 - 2*r*rs
+ rho**2)
g(2,2) := coeffn(ds2,dtheta,2);
g(2,2) := - (( - 2*r*rs + rho**2)/rho**2)**chi*rho**2
g(3,3) := coeffn(ds2,dphip,2);
g(3,3) := sin(theta)**2*( - 2*sqrt((
- (( - 2*r*rs + rho**2)/rho**2)**(chi + eta))/(2*r*rs
- rho**2))*sin(theta)**2*a**2*rho
- (( - 2*r*rs + rho**2)/rho**2)**chi*rho**2
+ (( - 2*r*rs + rho**2)/rho**2)**eta*sin(theta)**2*a**2)
```

References

[1] R. H. Boyer, R. W. Lindquist, Maximal analytic extension of the Kerr metric. *J. Math. Phys.*, 8(2):265–281, 1967.

[2] M. Demiański, E. T. Newman, Combined Kerr-NUT Solution of the Einstein Field Equations. Bulletin de l'Académie Polonaise des Sciences, 14, 653–657, 1966.

[3] R. d'Inverno, *Introducing Einstein's Relativity*. Oxford University Press, USA, 1992.

[4] S. P. Drake and P. Szekeres, Uniqueness of the Newman-Janis algorithm in generating the kerr-newman metric. *Gen. Relativ. Gravit.*, 32:445–458, Mar 2000.

[5] E. J. Flaherty, *Hermitian and Kählerian Geometry in Relativity* (Lecture Notes in Physics 46). Springer-Verlag, 1976.

[6] E. N. Glass, J. P. Krisch, Spinning up asymptotically flat spacetimes. *Classical and Quantum Gravity*, 21(23):5543, 2004.

[7] A. C. Hearn, *REDUCE* (User's and Contributed Packages Manual). Konrad-Zuse-Zentrum für Informationstechnik, Berlin, 1999.

[8] N. Ibohal, Rotating metrics admitting non-perfect fluids. *Gen. Relativ. Gravit.*, 37:19–51, 2005. 10.1007/s10714-005-0002-6.

[9] A. I. Janis, E. T. Newman, and J. Winicour, Reality of the Schwarzschild Singularity. *Phys. Rev.*, **20** (16), 878–880, 1968.

[10] R. P. Kerr. Gravitational field of a spinning mass as an example of algebraically special metrics. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 11:237–238, Sep 1963.

[11] R. P. Kerr, A. Schild, Some algebraically degenerate solutions of Einstein's gravitational field equations. *Applications of Nonlinear Partial Differential Equations in Mathematical Physics* (R. Finn ed.) Proceedings of Symposia in Applied Mathematics (American Mathematical Society, Providence, Rhode Island), Vol. XVII, 199–209, 1965.

[12] H. Kim, Spinning BTZ black hole versus Kerr black hole: A closer look. *Phys. Rev. D*, 59:064002, Feb 1999.

[13] K. D. Krori, D. R. Bhattacharjee, Kerr-like metric in Brans-Dicke theory Journal of Mathematical Physics, 23 (4), 637–638, 1982.

[14] E. T. Newman and A. I. Janis, Note on the Kerr spinning-particle metric. *J. Math. Phys.*, 6(6):915–917, 1965.

[15] E. T. Newman, E. Couch, K. Chinnapared, A. Exton, A. Prakash, R. Torrence, Metric of a rotating, charged mass. *J. Math. Phys.*, 6(6):918–919, 1965.

[16] G. Nordstrøm, On the Energy of the Gravitational Field in Einstein's Theory *Verhandl. Koninkl. Ned. Akad. Wetenschap.*, **20**, 1238–1245, 1918.

[17] H. Quevedo, Complex Transformations of the Curvature Tensor. General Relativity and Gravitation, 24 (7), 693–703, 1992

[18] H. Reissner. Über die Eigengravitation des elektrischen Feldes nach der Einsteinschen Theorie. *Annalen der Physik*, **50**, 106–120, 1916.

[19] K. Schwarzschild, Über das Gravitationsfeld eines Massenpunktes nach der Einsteinschen Theorie. *Sitzungsberichte der Königlich Preussischen Akademie der Wissenschaften*, **7**, 189–196. 1916 (ArXiv:physics/9905030).

[20] C. J. Talbot, Newman-Penrose Approach to Twisting Degenerate Metrics. Communications in Mathematical Physics, 13, 45–61, 1969.